IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

KENNETH ADAM MARSHALL,

Petitioner,

CASE NO. 2:15-CV-2775
JUDGE ALGENON L. MARBLEY
MAGISTRATE JUDGE KEMP

v.

WARDEN, PICKAWAY CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION,

Respondent.

OPINION AND ORDER

On September 28, 2016, final judgment was entered dismissing the instant petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (ECF No. 24.) On October 20, 2016, the Court granted Petitioner's *Motion for Reconsideration* (ECF No. 25), permitting Petitioner to file objections to the Magistrate Judge's *Order and Report and Recommendation* for consideration by this Court. (ECF No. 27.) Petitioner has filed a *Responsive Pleading to the Court* (ECF No. 30), which the Court will construe as Petitioner's objections to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation of dismissal of this case. (*See* ECF No. 21.)

Therefore, the *Order Adopting the Report and Recommendation* and *Judgment* of dismissal of this action (ECF Nos. 23, 24) without consideration of Petitioner's objections are **VACATED**.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review. For the reasons that follow, Petitioner's objections (ECF No. 30) are **OVERRULED**. The *Order and Report and Recommendation* (ECF No. 21) is **ADOPTED** and **AFFIRMED**. This action is hereby **DISMISSED**.

In his Responsive Pleading to the Court, Petitioner indicates that he has submitted

exhibits demonstrating that he provided notice to the Respondents requesting processing of the

warrants, detainers and holders against him, which were ignored. Additionally, he states that he

requested and was denied participation in programs, "PRC/Early Release" and suffered other

losses as a result. He has attached documents in support of this claim.

However, this case relates to a detainer that apparently has been placed against Petitioner

by the State of Indiana. Petitioner has failed to meet the requirement that he be "in custody" so

as to obtain federal habeas corpus relief. See 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Carafas v. LaVallee, 391 U.S.

234, 238 (1968). Moreover, Petitioner has been released from prison, and the Warden of the

Pickaway Correctional Institution is not a party against whom a challenge to out-of-state

warrants may be brought.

Petitioner has presented no basis for the reconsideration of the Magistrate Judge's

recommendation of dismissal of this case. For these reasons, and for the reasons addressed in the

Magistrate Judge's Order and Report and Recommendation, Petitioner's objections (ECF No.

30) are **OVERRULED**.

The Order and Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 21) is ADOPTED and

AFFIRMED. This action is hereby **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Algenon L. Marbley

ALGENON L. MARBLEY

United States District Judge

2